	Case 1:25-cv-00121-JLT-BAM	ocument 14	Filed 03/04/25	Page 1 of 2			
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	JOHN PAUL FRANK SCHOWAC	HERT, Ca	ase No. 1:25-cv-012	1 JLT BAM (PC)			
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, DENYING				
13	v.	PI	PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, AND DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO PAY THE FILING FEE WITHIN 30 DAYS				
14	BILL POLLEY,	PI					
15	Defendant.		(Docs. 2, 7)				
16			(Docs. 2, 7)				
17	John Paul Frank Schowachert seeks to proceed in forma pauperis in this action, in which						
18	he alleges the defendant—the Tuolumne County Jail Commander—violated his civil rights at the						
19	jail. (Docs. 1, 2.) Reviewing Plaintiff's litigation history, the magistrate judge identified six						
20	actions that qualified as strikes under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). (Doc. 7 at 1-2, n.1.) In addition, the						
21	magistrate judge found Plaintiff "failed to allege that he was in any imminent danger of serious						
22	physical injury at the time the complaint was filed." (Id. at 2.) Therefore, the magistrate judge						
23	found the imminent danger exception to Section 1915 does not apply and recommended that the						
24	Court deny the motion to proceed in forma pauperis. (Id. at 2-3.)						
25	The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that						
26	any objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 7 at 3.) The Court advised Plaintiff the "failure to						

file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of the 'right to challenge the

magistrate's factual findings' on appeal." (Id., quoting Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-

27

28

	Case 1:25-cv	v-00121-JLT-BAM	Document 14	Filed 03/04/25	Page 2 of 2				
1	39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff did not file any objections and the time to do so has passed.								
2	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a <i>de novo</i> review of this case.								
3	Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations								
4	are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS :								
5	1. The Findings and Recommendations filed on February 5, 2025 (Doc. 7) are								
6	ADOPTED in full.								
7	2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed <i>in forma paupers</i> (Doc. 2) is DENIED.								
8	3. Within 30 days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff SHALL pay in full								
9	the \$405.00 filing fee if he wishes to proceed with his action.								
10	Plaintiff is advised that failure to pay the required filing fee as ordered will result in								
11	the dismissal of this action without prejudice.								
12	IT IC CO ODDEDED								
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.								
14	Dated:	March 4, 2025		UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT JUDGE				
15									
16									
17 18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									